

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,709	REINDLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(3) _____

(2) Jay F. Moldovanyi.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-49

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Moldovanyi of allowability of claims 30-49; Mr. Moldovanyi agreed to cancel claims 1-29, drawn to the non-elected invention. Mr. Moldovanyi agreed to insert a vacuum source into claim 49 to make the housing a vacuum cleaner, as stated in the preamble. Mr. Moldovanyi agreed to replaced 'the' to 'a', as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for proper antecedence basis..